

Horizon 2020

Témoignage d'un expert Evalueateur



B. Rouchouze
bruno.rouchouze@gemalto.com

Expert: Who, what, how?

- ✧ Independent experts to assist the E.C. with research and innovation assignments
 - Evaluation of proposals,
 - Monitoring of projects,
 - Evaluation of programmes,
 - Design of policy

- ✧ You have chance to being selected as an expert if you
 - Have high-level of expertise in the relevant fields
 - Can be available of occasional, short-term assignments
 - Not concerned by the call

- ✧ Experts recruited through the specific E.C. database of independent experts for European research & innovation
 - <http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/experts/index.html>

Focus on Evaluations

✧ Experts under NDA

✧ 3 or 5 experts per review

- No contact before the face-to-face review

✧ Process

- 2-3 weeks for review received proposals
- Submission of the comments and the notes directly on the E.C. portal
- Face-to-face meeting with others designed experts, the Project Officer from the E.C., and one neutral delegate
- Specific H2020: Calibration Process
- Selection process

equity
neutrality
respect
fair
serious

Specific form for evaluation

✧ -Criterion 1 - Excellence

- Current score: - / 5; Threshold 3; Weight 100% ; Priority 1
- Your score: ??
- Clarity and pertinence of the objectives: ??
- Credibility of the proposed approach: ??
- Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations, where relevant: ??
- Extent that proposed work is ambitious, has innovation potential, and is beyond the state of the art (e.g. ground breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches): ??

✧ -Criterion 2 – Impact

✧ -Criterion 3: Quality and efficiency of the implementation

✧ -Operational Capacity

✧ -Proposal content corresponds, wholly or in part, to the topic description against which it is submitted, in the relevant work programme part

Specific form for evaluation

✧ -Criterion 2 - Impact

- ✧ Current score: - / 5; Threshold 3; Weight 100% ; Priority 2
- ✧ Your score: ??
- ✧ The expected impacts listed in the work programme under the relevant topic: ??
- ✧ Enhancing innovation capacity and integration of new knowledge: ??
- ✧ Strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations meeting the needs of European and global markets and where relevant, by delivering such innovations to the markets: ??
- ✧ Any other environmental and socially important impacts: ??
- ✧ Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of IPR), to communicate the project, and to manage research data where relevant: ??

Specific form for evaluation

✧ -Criterion 3: Quality and efficiency of the implementation

- ✧ Current score: - / 5; Threshold 3; Weight 100% ; Priority 3
- ✧ Your score: ??
- ✧ Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan, including appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources: ??
- ✧ Complementarity of the participants within the consortium (when relevant): ??
- ✧ Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including risk and innovation management: ??

Notes

✧ Excellence	Note1 /5
✧ Impact	Note2 /5
✧ Quality & Efficiency of Implementation	Note3 /5

Total / 15

- ✧ Notation: 1 very poor, 2 poor, 3 good, 4 very good, 5 excellent
- ✧ Excellence, or Impact or Quality < 3 => Proposal rejected
- ✧ Total < 10 => Proposal rejected
- ✧ **A call is as a contest**
 - 13,5 could be sufficient with few submissions (<30 proposals)
 - More than 14 for call with a lot of submissions (More than 30 proposals)

Some Recommendations

✧ Be consistent with the call

✧ Strive for excellence

- Have a good innovative concept
- Have the right partners
- Have a excellent leader
- Be clear in your proposal
- Be coherent, Be consistent
- Avoid verbiage
- Have good balances:
 - Industry/Academic/Public
 - Man/Woman
 - Developer/Specialist/Expert
 - Geographical sharing
- Don't forget inputs
- Don't forget PME
- Promote previous funded projects

✧ Specific H2020

- From Project to Product
- Focus on your expertise
- Be excellent (yes again!)
- Have clear & strong use-cases
- Have pertinent end-users
- Have & justify potential business
- Strong advisory Board
- Have strong letters of recommendation
- Don't forget specific calls
 - SME Instrument
 - Specific to one non EU country
 - ...

Have neutral reviewers before submission

Thank You !

Questions?