



Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions

June 2020 | Individual Fellowships

Drafting Tips

PCN MSCA | pcn-mariescurie@recherche.gouv.fr



Evaluation grid, scoring & results 2019

An evaluation relying on three criteria

IF - Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowships		
Excellence	Impact	Quality and efficiency of the implementation
Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project; level of novelty, appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary and gender aspects	Enhancing the future career prospects of the researcher after the fellowship	Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan, including the appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources
Quality and appropriateness of the training and of the two way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host	Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results	Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures, including risk management
Quality of the supervision and of the integration in the team/institution	Quality of the proposed measures to communicate the project activities to different target audiences	Appropriateness of the institutional environment (infrastructure)
Potential of the researcher to reach or re-enforce professional maturity/independence during the fellowship		
Weighting		
50%	30%	20%
Priority in case of proposals with the same score (<i>ex aequo</i>)		
1	2	3

NB: An overall threshold of 70% will be applied to the total weighted score.

Scoring and threshold

Each criterion is evaluated out of 5 :

0 – Proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.

1 – Poor. The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

2 – Fair. Proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.

3 – Good. Proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.

4 – Very Good. Proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

5 – Excellent. Proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

Nota Bene : The total score must reach a minimum threshold score of 70%.

IF 2019 - Threshold scores

Panel	European Fellowship						Global Fellowship					
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
CHE	89.6	90.8	91.8	91,4	92.8	92,4	93.6	94	93.6	93,2	92.4	92
ECO	86.6	89.8	90.6	89	89.4	91,6	93.2	94	94.4	88,2	93.2	89,4
ENG	88.6	90.6	91.8	91,4	93	92,4	93.8	93.8	93.6	93	90	89,8
ENV	90.4	91.2	92	92,2	92.4	93,4	93.4	93.6	93.6	92,6	92.6	91,6
LIF	90.6	92.4	92.2	93	93.6	92,6	91.8	93.8	92	91,4	91	91,2
MAT	90.2	91	91.6	91,6	92,6	91,2	92.2	91.6	88.6	93,2	94,2	94,2
CAR	87.2	91.2	90.8	91,4	91,2	89,4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
RI	90.8	92.2	92.6	93,4	92.4	93	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SE			80.6	89	87	82,2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PHY	90.4	91.2	91.2	90	90.8	91,4	93	93.4	92.6	91,4	90.2	88,4
SOC	92.8	92.2	92.8	91	92.6	93	92.8	93.6	95	92,4	90.4	90

IF 2019 – Success rates

European Fellowships			
Panel	Number of Proposals		
	Evaluated	Retained for Funding	Success Rate
ST-CHE	1061	139	13,10%
ST-ECO	168	23	13,69%
ST-ENG	951	124	13,04%
ST-ENV	856	111	12,97%
ST-LIF	1753	231	13,18%
ST-MAT	181	23	12,71%
ST-PHY	892	119	13,34%
ST-SOC	1740	227	13,05%
MSCA-IF-EF-CAR	440	89	20,23%
MSCA-IF-EF-RI	647	132	20,40%
MSCA-IF-EF-SE	196	54	27,55%

Global Fellowships			
Panel	Number of Proposals		
	Evaluated	Retained for Funding	Success Rate
GF-CHE	58	15	25,86%
GF-ECO	11	3	27,27%
GF-ENG	88	22	25,00%
GF-ENV	119	28	23,53%
GF-LIF	148	36	24,32%
GF-MAT	10	2	20,00%
GF-PHY	74	17	22,97%
GF-SOC	316	80	25,32%

Individual Fellowships			
Panel	Number of Proposals		
	Evaluated	Retained for Funding	Success Rate
TOTAL	9709	1475	15,19%

For more information:
[Statistics](#)



Evaluation reports analysis for 2019

Criterion 1 : Excellence (1/10)

1. Scientific project

a. Introduction

- Introduce perfectly the issues to be solved or dealt with
- Give contextualization elements
- Submit a project relying on detailed and solid preliminary data and / or built on major previous work

b. State of the art

- Draft a detailed, credible and understandable state of the art
- Highlight limits, deficiencies, weaknesses, gaps, existing needs in the field of research under study
- Demonstrate that it is essential to develop certain aspects in the research field in order to meet current challenges.
- Emphasize that this is a topic that has been under-explored or under-exploited to date.

c. Objectives

- Indicate objectives that are precise, detailed, coherent, appropriate, innovative, measurable, realistic, resulting from the state of the art and integrated into the research activities.
- Formulate specifically the hypothesis
- Demonstrate that they will allow to go beyond the state of the art
- Describe new achievements, new expected results
- Indicate that the objectives are related to the applicant's previous research work and are credible in terms of the respective expertise of the applicant and the host institution.

→ These three points should make it possible to highlight what has been done so far in the field of research, the remaining challenges and the project objectives to overcome them.

Criterion 1 : Excellence (2/10)

d. A credible project

- ✓ Propose an excellent, original, innovative, stimulating, relevant, timely project.
 - innovative aspects must be detailed, robust and contribute to effective applications
- ✓ Propose an ambitious but credible and feasible project:
 - clearly identify the period
 - outline the steps, the strategy to be followed in order to carry out the action
 - describe new techniques that make a significant contribution
- ✓ Emphasize inter and multidisciplinary aspects :
 - exposure to different scientific approaches via the participating teams
 - collaborations with actors from different disciplines and/or sectors that are relevant to the project
 - wide possibility of multidisciplinary applications
 - significant contribution to the applicant's career
- ✓ Indicate the capacity of the project to address critical issues
- ✓ Highlighting the capacity to achieve scientific advances in the field, to obtain new results, new knowledge
- ✓ Take into account all scientific aspects (methods, procedures, factors, environment)
- ✓ Respect gender aspects and gender balance
- ✓ Offer new career opportunities to the applicant

Criterion 1 : Excellence (3/10)

d. A credible project – next

- Highlight collaborations created that fit perfectly with the needs of the project and are beneficial to the applicant's career.
- Do not neglect possible applications and exploitations (medical, industrial, etc.).
- Indicate the competition, especially when it is a very active field.
- Describe networking opportunities and show how the applicant will be able to interact with these networks (internationally, with both sectors [academic and non-academic], etc.).
 - The objective is to demonstrate and increase the applicant's international visibility.

e. Methodology & approaches

- Describe and detail the methodology and approaches in order to adequately address the different aspects of the proposal.
- Propose innovative and adapted approaches for the project with updated methods
- Propose a methodology based on existing procedures, supported by preliminary results or preparatory work
- Propose a logically structured and solid methodology in order to :
 - to cover all the steps necessary to achieve the objectives set
 - use different innovative approaches (analytical, numerical, experimental, multidisciplinary, complementary, etc.).
 - to include advanced techniques

Criterion 1 : Excellence (4/10)

f. “Two-way transfer”

- Detail a transfer of knowledge that is meaningful (added value in both directions), credible, clear, feasible and effective.
- Emphasize this transfer of knowledge with the beneficiary but also with the partner organization in case of secondment or within the framework of a Global Fellowship.
- Demonstrate the obvious complementarity between the applicant and the laboratory allowing a high transfer potential.
- Describe all skill acquisitions: scientific, technical, transferable, complementary, personal.
- Indicate the methods of transfer (training and supervision of doctoral students, advice to laboratory staff, participation in seminars, regular meetings with the laboratory, etc.).

Criterion 1 : Excellence (5/10)

2. Training

- Propose quality, innovative, relevant training with realistic objectives and:
 - in connection with the project
 - complementary with the applicant's past experience
 - in line with his career development prospects
- Describe all training offered: scientific, transferable, complementary, technical, personal (life skills).

→ Don't forget to describe the training given during a secondment or the outgoing phase of a Global fellowship!

- Enabling the expansion of career opportunities and prospects
- Emphasize the strong complementarity between the applicant's previous experience and the proposed project:
 - broadening of skills and knowledge
 - better visibility within the scientific community
 - network expansion
 - credible professional development as a mature and independent researcher
- Distinguish the knowledge, skills and know-how that the applicant will develop in relation to their past expertise.
- Indicate the planning and format of training courses (seminars, modules, hands-on training, etc.).
- Indicate if the proposed training courses will be available to external people (Master, Bachelor and PhD students).
- Propose a career development plan that will be reviewed regularly in order to put in place corrective measures if necessary.

Criterion 1 : Excellence (6/10)

3. The applicant

- Demonstrate the quality of the applicant:
 - quality publications
 - significant achievements
 - international prizes
 - experiences in Europe and internationally
 - teaching and coaching
 - obtaining and managing financing
 - participation in collaborative projects, seminars and conferences
 - excellent visibility within the scientific community
 - ability to adapt to different cultures and environments (scientific, geographical, industrial, etc.)
 - member of international networks
 - motivated researcher
 - etc.
- Do not neglect any non-scientific skills that could have a positive impact on the project.
- Ability to show initiative, leadership and recognition in the field.

Criterion 1 : Excellence (7/10)

3. The applicant – next

- Demonstrate the fit between the applicant and the supervisor, the project objectives and the host institution.
- Demonstrate that the applicant has the capacity to carry out such a project.
- Highlight the applicant's potential to build a solid CV in order to achieve professional maturity and independence.
- Consideration of the researcher's career at the time of the evaluation

→ **The new competences and skills acquired during the fellowship added to the previous ones will enable the applicant to reinforce their professional maturity and independence.**

- Career Restart Panel : Emphasize on the opportunity to :
 - return to a scientific career
 - progress in the field, whether in the academic or non-academic sector
 - reach an independent position
 - have better career opportunities
- Reintegration panel : Demonstrate that the grant will allow the applicant to:
 - have new career opportunities
 - develop an international network
 - reach a professional maturity position

Criterion 1 : Excellence (8/10)

4. The supervisor & the supervision

- Highlight their quality, skills, experience, recognition in the field and their commitment to the project; all in line with the project's objectives.
- Highlight their scientific network and collaborations
- Indicate whether he or she has experience of European projects.
- Demonstrate its ability to train and mentor researchers (young and experienced, MSCA laureates)

→ Don't forget the supervisor during the secondment (if applicable) or outgoing phase of the Global fellowship!

Criterion 1 : Excellence (9/10)

5. Laboratory: beneficiary and partner (if applicable)

- Describe the excellence of the scientific environment and the expertise of advanced techniques and technologies
- Demonstrate the facilities to ensure a smooth start of the project, optimal integration of the researcher and excellent working conditions:
 - administrative support in welcoming researchers
 - integration in the different fields of expertise and disciplines
 - creation of synergy between team members and the emergence of an independent researcher
 - cooperation with experienced researchers
 - events to promote social and professional relationships among the members of the institution
 - adjustments in line with the Charter and the Code
 - existing collaborations between the applicant and the laboratory (beneficiary or partner)
 - advice on resuming a research career after an interruption
 - work-life flexibility
- Highlighting the laboratory's collaborations in international networks
- Showing team excellence and recognition
- Indicate results of preliminary experiments
- Support complementarity between the different project participants (beneficiary, partner, applicant)

➔ **Nota Bene : Don't forget the institutions hosting the secondments and outgoing phases of the Global Fellowships!**

Criterion 1 : Excellence (10/10)

6. Secondments

- Highlight the added value in relation to the project
- Allow the development of additional skills and multidisciplinary aspects
- Offer the opportunity to work with internationally renowned teams
- Indicate the excellence of the partner institution

Criterion 2 : Impact (1/4)

1. Career Plan

- Acquire, diversify and consolidate scientific, technical (leading-edge, experimental, etc.), transferable, complementary and personal skills (e.g. proposal drafting, project management, teaching, coaching, leadership, conference or workshop organization, industrial application)
 - Demonstrate that the applicant's past experience + the experience gained during the fellowship provides a winning combination for the candidate's future career.
 - Offer new career opportunities and maximize employability, competitiveness
 - Provide the opportunity to work in a multidisciplinary and stimulating environment
 - Achieve an independent research position (team building, funding, permanent position)
 - Enlarge the applicant's networks (national, European, international), collaborations and cooperations in order to obtain a better visibility.
 - Benefit from exposure to the non-academic sector
 - Take advantage of an emerging research area or laboratory: allow the applicant to contribute to advances in the field or in the infrastructure.
 - Define a credible career plan
- ➔ Demonstrate that the grant will raise the profile of the researcher and thus have a positive impact on his or her future career.

Criterion 2 : Impact (2/4)

2. Communication and dissemination of results

- Describe communication and dissemination measures
- Detailing an adequate, original, solid, realistic, planned, qualitative and relevant strategy
- Integrate action measures adequately and accurately into the Gantt Chart
- Undertaking activities:
 - at different scales: local, regional, national, etc.
 - in different terms: short, medium, long
 - through different channels: newspapers, books, newsletters, radio, institutional website, scientific portals, conferences, workshops in schools, courses, competitions, exhibitions, video animations, video games, applications, artistic visualizations, experimental platform, social networks, public software sharing, open days, festivals, Marie S. Curie ambassador, interaction with other European projects, secondments, etc.
 - adapted to take into account all audiences: general public, students, patient associations, clinicians, industry, policy makers, NGOs, targeted audiences (e.g. age, geographical area, women, tourists), etc.
- Emphasize public involvement and mobilization through these specific actions ("public engagement")
- Indicate the applicant's personal involvement in these dissemination, public awareness and outreach activities.
- Use the applicant's past experience for this type of activity (e.g. communication expert through participation in numerous seminars and conferences, freelance journalist, collaboration with radio, training in communication and dissemination of results, etc.).
- Build on the existing skills and services of the institute (e.g. public relations department, experience of the beneficiary in communicating with non-specialists, etc.).

Criterion 2 : Impact (3/4)

3. Exploitation & Intellectual Property

- Thinking about a qualitative, realistic and achievable operating strategy
- Describe the measures for results' exploitation
- Describe the business approach (if applicable)
- Include the results' exploitation in the Gantt Chart
- Ensure a strategy for technology transfer
- Describe intellectual property issues
- Do not neglect the management, protection, exploitation and commercialization of this intellectual property.
- Rely on the experience of the applicant, the supervisor and the laboratory
- Relying on the services of the host institution (dedicated service)

Criterion 2 : Impact (4/4)

4. Benefits

- To show the impact on the research theme (direct and longer term), the scientific community and European society.
- Do not forget the impact on the actors potentially concerned by this research (e.g. hospitals, non-academic sector, etc.).
- Choosing the right strategy to maximise the contribution of the grant to scientific excellence and European competitiveness
- Creating a long-term synergy between European laboratories
- Demonstrate the benefits to the host institution through the applicant's knowledge and expertise
- Highlighting the benefits of "secondment".
- Thinking about potential business value
- Demonstrate the importance of involving industrial partners
- Highlight the impact on the researcher's career: opportunity to work with the non-academic sector, plans to recruit to the organization, plans to submit new applications for funding, etc.

Criterion 3 : Implementation (1/5)

1. Work plan

- Draft a structured, feasible, credible, coherent, flexible and quality project
- Propose a work plan that meets the project's objectives
- Link it to personal career development plan
- (if applicable) Think of a logical chronology: from basic research to market launch

2. Tasks (Work packages - WP)

- Describe each task (WP) in order to effectively justify the time and resources allocated, both scientific and non-scientific WPs
- Articulate the different tasks in an appropriate and efficient manner
- Do not neglect the GANTT Chart:
 - structured and directly linked to the project objectives
 - proposing an appropriate timetable for the completion of the various tasks and showing the progress made in their completion
 - all activities must appear with the allocated duration: scientific tasks, training, dissemination, communication, management, secondments, etc.
- Indicate the interactions between the different tasks (WPs)
- Establish a realistic time schedule
- Propose an adequate allocation of tasks and resources :
 - based on the resources available at the host institution, partner organisation, collaborators within the laboratory network
 - based on the candidate's previous experience
 - help from an engineering assistant, a student (master, doctoral student) to carry out specific points of the project within the allotted time of the grant

Criterion 3 : Implementation (2/5)

2. Tasks (Work packages - WP) - next

- Indicate the person/month allocation per WP
- Do not underestimate the time that certain activities may take (experimental applications, need to acquire a skill beforehand, secondments, publication, translation of a book, data analysis, etc.).
- Do not forget a "non-technical" WP in order to follow "non-technical" activities such as training, communication and dissemination as well as management
- Highlight the candidate's contribution and involvement in research and training activities.

3. Milestones & Deliverables

- Describe the steps and objectives in a detailed, organized, realistic manner that meets the expectations of the project.
- Include them in the Gantt Chart
- Indicate a sufficient number of intermediate targets to :
 - monitor and evaluate the progress of the project
 - allow for corrective measures to be taken when problems arise

➔ If the work packages, milestones and deliverables form a logical chain, this will ensure a credible and coherent work plan and a successful implementation of the project.

Criterion 3 : Implementation (3/5)

4. Risks

- Assess and describe the risks that could harm the projects (scientific and administrative). Do not omit the risks related to the current pandemic
- Provide for mitigation measures or even plans B (proof of the candidate's scientific maturity)

5. Monitoring process

- Indicate the regular monitoring of the project and of the researcher's career development by the supervisor(s) (beneficiary organisation, partner, secondment): meetings, reports, interviews, e-mails, indicators, etc.
 - ➔ Don't forget the frequency as well as the modalities of these meetings. Beware of the second ones!
- Show the experience of the supervisor(s) in terms of project monitoring
- Demonstrate the interaction between the researcher, the research team and the candidate in order to move the project forward, discuss progress and difficulties encountered, etc., and to ensure optimal development of the project

Criterion 3 : Implementation (4/5)

6. Host lab and Host institution (GF outgoing phase, secondment)

- Describe the infrastructure, equipment and advanced technologies made available to the researcher and, if possible, the exclusivity of these devices
- Emphasize that all these measures are in line with the objectives and guarantee the realization of the project.
- Emphasize the quality of the scientific environment: scientific and experimental expertise, collaborations, networks, international recognition, multidisciplinary activity, culture of excellence, human resources, etc.

7. Host institution and partner organization (GF outgoing phase, secondment)

- Highlight the skills, experience, quality and complementarity with the applicant's activities and project objectives (contribution to the success of the project)
- Demonstrate credible commitment, real contribution to the project and effective support for the applicant's research and training activities.
- Highlight the experience in hosting researchers and all the administrative mechanisms to support them.
- Highlight experience in managing MSCA contracts and other European funding
- Highlight interactions with specific audiences (patient associations, pharmaceutical groups, farmers, clinicians, etc.).

Criterion 3 : Implementation (5/5)

8. Secondment

- Demonstrate added value and relevance to the project
- Emphasize that the partner organization has a research infrastructure :
 - quality, with state-of-the-art equipment and facilities
 - necessary for the implementation of the project activities
- Indicate the commitment of the partner organization
- Demonstrate that the duration and period of time dedicated to the support is relevant and timely.

9. Financial and administrative management of the project

- Highlight the quality infrastructure available for credible and appropriate project management
- Describe the practical and administrative arrangements for the implementation of the project.
- Describe the financial management of the project
- Highlight the services made available to the researcher for effective management (e.g., Valorisation Service, intellectual property, editing, HR, finance, etc.).
- Indicate the applicant's involvement in these activities and draw on his or her skills if possible