

RETEX ON A FEW CALLS

HERVÉ DEBAR

■ Challenge

- Cyber-physical infrastructures:
 - *difficult to get access to in projects*
 - *difficult to create realistic attacks*
- Automation:
 - *reluctance to access real systems and automate actions*

■ Scope

- 2020 should focus on interdependencies
- Assess in detail all aspects of interdependent physical and cyber threats and incidents
 - *Makes sense: ubiquitous digital control*
- demonstrate the accuracy of their risk assessment approach using specific examples and scenarios of real life and by comparing the results with other risk assessment methodologies
 - *Requires access to real incident data ?*
 - *Privacy issues*
- develop improved real-time, evidence-based security management of physical and cyber threats, taking account of the ageing of existing infrastructure
 - *Not easy for « IT guys »*
 - *Access usually difficult*
- provide scenarios and recommendations for policy planning
 - *From EUNITY: this should be really short and liaise with the PO in order for the recommendations to have impact. If it works it is really good*

■ Challenge

- forecast, monitor and update the security of their ICT systems
 - ▶ *Missing: information models, but they are hard to get up and running*
 - ▶ *CTI is a strong topic in pilot projects*
- relying as appropriate on Artificial Intelligence and automation
- Detection and mitigation of cyberthreats for algorithms
 - ▶ *Linked to explainable AI*
 - ▶ *Strong topic in pilot projects*

■ Scope

- Sous topic a): Gouvernance dynamique, gestion des risques et conformité
 - ▶ *Mention of cyber-ranges, actively developed in the pilot projects*
 - ▶ *Many activities are related to SIEM, and there have been several funded projects in FP7 and H2020*
 - ▶ *Significant SHS (economic, legal, ethical) component*
- Sous-topic b): Partage et analyse des informations sur les cybermenaces
 - ▶ *CTI: strong in pilot projects, but difficult to organize*
 - ▶ *Include CERTs in operations, but this is a different community (e.g. CEF Telecom call). Maturity levels are significantly different*
 - ▶ *Existing models: MISP platform*

■ End users et expérimentations

- Cas d'usages « réalistes »
- En « contradiction » avec certains aspects des projets, e.g. Open Data Pilot et Data Management Plan

■ Relation avec ce qui a déjà été financé

- Réutilisation des résultats produits dans des projets précédents

■ Focus sur des résultats tangibles

- Démonstrations
- Nombre important de end-users

■ Taille croissante des consortiums gagnants

■ Possibilité de développer les résultats dans d'autres frameworks européens