



MSCA – Retour d'expert

17 Mai 2016

Julie Guillermet-Guibert

INSERM U1037

Centre de Recherches en Cancérologie de Toulouse

Who I am?

- **Team leader in Centre de Recherches en Cancérologie de Toulouse
INSERM/CNRS/Université Paul Sabatier U1037**
“ PI3 kinase isoforms, Signalling and Cancerogenesis”



Partner in 2015 of a ITN (10 partners) – another MSCA - Train 2 PhD students



Importance of MSCA in my career:

- **Awarded a Marie-Curie EIF for a post-doc in Prof. B Vanhaesebroeck’s lab**
“ PI3 kinase beta in angiogenesis “

=> Recruitment in INSERM

- **Awarded a Marie-Curie RI (action which do not exist anymore)**
“Targeting PI3 kinase in pancreatic cancer “

Objective of Individual Fellowship action

- “to **enhance the creative and innovative potential** of **experienced researchers**, wishing to diversify their individual competence in terms of skill acquisition through advanced training, international and intersectoral mobility.”

⇒ The most important advice:

The project should be designed

for the applicant career.

Types of actions

- Standard EF, → “classical first post-doc”
- CAR – Career Restart panel,
- RI – Reintegration panel, Society and Enterprise panel,
- Global Fellowships → be careful this is not a program grant, it is for the applicant

⇒ Demonstrate the impact on the researcher
in the context of the action

How to read the guidelines?

GUIDE FOR APPLICANTS



Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions

Individual Fellowships (IF)

Call identifier: H2020-MSCA-IF-2014

Closing Date: 11 September 2014 at 17:00:00
(Brussels local time)

Date of publication: 12 March 2014

Version Number: 2014.1

Criteria

IF: Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowships		
Excellence	Impact	Quality and efficiency of the implementation
Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project; level of novelty, appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary and gender aspects	Enhancing the potential and future career prospects of the researcher	Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan
Quality and appropriateness of the training and of the two way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host	Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results	Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources
Quality of the supervision and of the integration in the team/institution	Quality of the proposed measures to communicate the project activities to different target audiences	Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures, including risk management
Capacity of the researcher to reach or re-enforce a position of professional maturity/independence		Appropriateness of the institutional environment (infrastructure)
50%	30%	20%
Weighting		
1	2	3
Priority in case of ex aequo		

Criteria

<u>IF: Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowships</u>		
Excellence	Impact	Quality and efficiency of the implementation
50%	30%	20%
Weighting		
1	2	3
Priority in case of <i>ex aequo</i>		

Excellence

Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project; level of novelty, appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary and gender aspects

- The project should be innovative but feasible by the applicant.
-> Justify your strategy

Excellence

Quality and appropriateness of the training and of the two way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host.

- Host to researcher – usually easy to explain, the reverse is critical because this will ensure the success of the program and indirectly increases the commitment of the Host.
 - ⇒ Be specific.
 - ⇒ Very important for global fellowship

Excellence

Quality of the supervision and of
the integration in the
team/institution

- The project should be supervised in the best way.
- Here, it is not about implementation.
 - ⇒ The quality of the supervision should be demonstrated
 - ⇒ E.g. number of successful post-doc previously trained, scientific productivity
 - ⇒ E.g. interaction with other groups in the Institute

Excellence

Capacity of the researcher to reach or re-enforce a position of professional maturity/independence

- The project and the previous achievements of the applicant should demonstrate that the applicant is highly likely to go to the next step of his/her career, through his/her previous experience.
- => It is not about your career plan.

Impact

Enhancing the potential and future career prospects of the researcher
Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results
Quality of the proposed measures to communicate the project activities to different target audiences

- Part where it is easy to write generic sentences – be careful and write out details,
 - e.g. instead of publish in a high impact journal → cite the type of papers in relation to your field,
 - Instead of organise a general event → give example of events you have taken part previously or your host lab is organizing
- => Propose a program and demonstrate you will implement it with details

Quality and efficiency of the implementation

Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan

Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources

Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures, including risk management

- Feasibility adapted to the applicant,
- Remember that the aim is to develop the career of the applicant,
- Be specific

Conclusion

- Write a project in adequation with your previous expertise with a vision of what you want to do next;
- Get the host lab involved in the writing;
- Concentrate on the Project & Methodology.



Merci pour vos questions

17 Mai 2016

Julie Guillermet-Guibert

INSERM U1037

Centre de Recherches en Cancérologie de Toulouse